

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,258	12/11/2001	Richard A. Graff	Graff-P2-01	3786
28710	7590 01/12/2004		EXAMINER	
PETER K. T	RZYNA, ESQ.		ROSEN, NIC	CHOLAS D
P O BOX 7131 CHICAGO, IL 60680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	A cant(s	\mathcal{L}			
Advisory Action	10/015,258	GRAFF, RICHARD	A.			
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Nicholas D. Rosen	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shet with the	rr spondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice in a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			et forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet	!				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	- , , , -					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Nitralis D. Rosa 1/9/2004 NICHOLAS D. ROSEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/015,258



Applicati n No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Further consideration, and rewriting of the rejections, would be required with the independent claims no longe specifying "An electronic bidder system." This is not to b construed as a withdrawal of the rejections, or as an admission that Applicant's claims would become patentable upon deletion of the word "bidder" from the preambles and Abstract. Examiner believes tha there are still grounds to reject the claims, and even to refuse Applicant's request to declare an Interference.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The objection to claim 23 for lack of antecedent basis is hereby withdrawn, based on the use of "communicating" in the preamble of claim 2 (pointed out by Applicant's attorney, Peter Trzyna, when Examiner made a telephone call to notify him of having received the Notice of Appeal and After Final Amendment.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered, bu found unpersuasive.